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We respond to several criticisms of the view that there is a physical linkage between solar activity and the dynamics of the troposphere and lower stratosphere, and we provide further evidence in support of a mechanism for such a linkage involving atmospheric electricity and cloud microphysics. The main criticisms are (1) that the decadal time scale variations in stratified data result from aliasing introduced by the sampling process and are not responses to a decadal time scale physical input; (2) that the observed correlations are due to chance coincidence or an atmospheric periodicity that is not uniquely related to solar variability; and (3) that there are no plausible mechanisms that can amplify one of the weak solar-varying inputs in the region where the correlations are found. We show that the aliasing criticism is inadequate because the real quasi-biennial oscillation departs from an ideal sine wave in a way that reduces aliasing effects to insignificant levels. The nonuniqueness of identification of the 11-year solar cycle as the period of the arctic forcing for the Arctic winter stratospheric temperatures is a problem only for the short 33-year record of polar temperatures; in much longer time series of unstratified climate data the periods of 11 and 22 years are prominent. Highly unique signatures of solar wind forcing of tropospheric dynamics exist on the day-to-day time scale via two independent inputs to atmospheric electricity. These are (1) through changes in tropospheric ion production as a result of solar wind modulation of galactic cosmic rays and (2) through changes in the potential difference between the polar ionospheres and the surface, forced by the solar wind by component. The product of the cosmic ray flux and the ionospheric potential determines the vertical air-earth electrical current. In the presence of clouds of large horizontal extent, this current determines the rate of polarization charging of the clouds via the accumulation of positive electrostatic charges on droplets near cloud tops. The observed correlations, and theoretical and laboratory results for the effects of electrostatic charges on droplets and aerosols on the rates of ice nucleation, are consistent with the postulate that for certain regions and seasons and atmospheric levels the large-scale atmospheric electrical parameters have significant effects on the rates of initial ice nucleation. In such cases the mechanism can be considered to have a secure basis in laboratory experiment and quantitative atmospheric modeling.

1. INTRODUCTION

There have been many reports of apparent responses of the lower atmosphere dynamics and temperature and precipitation to variations in solar activity. One of the more striking correlations has been found between the winter stratospheric temperature in the Arctic and the 11-year sunspot cycle [Labitzke, 1987; Labitzke and van Loon, 1988]. This was obtained by stratifying data for individual winters according to whether the direction of stratospheric winds at 45 mbar in the equatorial regions was from the west (west phase) or from the east (east phase). These winds oscillate with an approximate 28-month period; therefore the phenomenon is called the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) of equatorial stratospheric winds. Figure 1 is an update of these results; it shows that the correlation has persisted for the years subsequent to 1986. For the west phase, the temperature excursion between about -75° and -60° C shows a strong positive correlation with the 10.7-cm solar flux, representing the solar cycle, whereas for the east phase the temperature excursion over the same range shows a moderately strong anticorrelation. For unstratified data the correlation is much weaker. We will analyze in Section 2 the argument that these and, by implication, similar results for tropospheric dynamics and atmospheric ozone and electric field changes represent an aliasing effect introduced by the sampling process and are not responses to a decadal time scale physical input.

The observations of winter stratospheric temperatures correlated with the solar cycle extend over only 33 years, which is not long enough to uniquely identify the 11-year sunspot cycle as the period of the forcing. We will show in Section 3 that in much longer time series of atmospheric data, the solar (and solar wind magnetic structure) periods of 11 and 22 years are prominent.

The lack of a mechanism that can amplify a weak solar input in the region where the correlations are found has been stated in essentially all critical discussions as a weakness of the view that correlations between atmospheric parameters and solar variability are caused by real physical connections. What calls for explanation is not only the Labitzke and van Loon [1988] results for decadal oscillations in the stratosphere but also numerous reports of apparent responses to solar activity in the troposphere both on the decadal (and longer) time scales and on the day-to-day time scales. The stratospheric oscillations, if real, might be excited by an in situ solar forcing, by effects propagating downward from the mesosphere and thermosphere, or by effects propagating upward from solar forcing of the troposphere. It was pointed out by Holton [1982] that effects are much more likely to propagate upward than downward.

For identifying processes that might link solar inputs to atmospheric responses, it is advantageous to examine short-term variations, since the several solar inputs each have unique signatures on the day-to-day time scale and it is therefore possible to identify any of the inputs that might be involved; this is not
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2. NATURE AND EXTENT OF ALIASING EFFECTS

The aliasing question has been emphasized by Wallace
[1988], Teitelbaum and Bauer [1990], Dewan and Shapiro [1991],
and Salby and Shea [1991]. The possibility is raised that the
change in Arctic stratospheric temperature is due to forcing by the
QBO alone (no input from the varying sun), and that the apparent
correlation with the 11-year solar cycle is a result of aliasing by
the annual sampling. We first test this by examining the aliasing
effect of sampling a synthetic QBO and comparing the result with
the observations. We then examine the aliasing effect of sampling
the real QBO and comparing the results with observations. Since
the temperature samples are made at 12-month intervals, for a
pure sinusoid of period 28 months there would be a 2-month
phase shift of each yearly datum point with respect to the
synthetic QBO. With stratification of the datum points
with respect to whether they were in the positive (e.g., west) or the
negative (e.g., east) phase of the synthetic QBO, the result shown
in Figure 2 is obtained. For both phases the stratified data show
the effect of aliasing, giving a sinusoidal output of period 7 years.
(When the phases are sampled separately at 12-month intervals,
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can, of course, be produced if the synthetic or real QBO is
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Fig. 1. Correlation between solar flux and stratospheric temperature in the
Arctic from 1956 to 1989. (a) Unstratified data. Solid curve, January-
February mean 30-mbar temperature; dashed curve, 10.7-cm solar flux.
(b) Same as panel a for winters only in the west phase of the QBO. (c)
Same as panel a for winters only in the east phase of the QBO.

possible on the decadal time scale. We will examine in Section 4
two independent sets of apparent responses to varying solar
inputs, both of which are short term and occur in the troposphere.
They point to the existence of a single physical process linking
changes in tropospheric dynamics to changes in atmospheric
electricity as it responds to external forcing by the solar wind.
The process also implies variations in tropospheric forcing on the
decadal time scale. We will discuss to what extent such
tropospheric forcing, propagating upward as waves to the
stratosphere while being modulated by the QBO, can account for
the apparent responses there on the decadal time scale.

The possibility that the solar wind, via changes in its
magnetic field, affects inputs into atmospheric electrical processes
and the global electric circuit has been suggested previously [Ney,
1959; Dickinson, 1975, Markson, 1978]. The solar wind is the
outward extension of the highly conducting solar corona, moving
at supersonic speed past the earth, and it transmits to the
ionosphere and global electrical circuit the effects of changes of
solar activity and in the large-scale solar magnetic fields. These
fields also modulate cosmic ray fluxes from galactic sources as
they flow into the inner solar system. The cosmic ray flux is the
dominant ionization source in the troposphere and lower
stratosphere. However, the amount of energy involved in these
electrical inputs into the atmosphere is a factor of about 10^6 less
than the total solar irradiance and a factor of about 10^8 less than
variations in the visible-infrared and UV photon inputs [Newkirk,
1982]. This has been an impediment to serious consideration of
solar wind forcing of weather and climate. However, the solar
wind-modulated electrical energy is deposited directly in the
troposphere; has a relatively large amplitude as a fraction of its
mean value and is an input that provides considerably more
energy per particle than thermal energy for catalyzing or
controlling (on the microscale) chemical and physical changes of
state. This energy requires an amplification by more than a factor
of 10^6 to significantly affect atmospheric dynamics. However, the
mechanism proposed by Tinsley [1990] and Tinsley and Deen
connecting atmospheric electricity and the rate of contact
ice nucleation in clouds can satisfy that requirement on a time
scale of hours. By affecting the release of latent heat, it changes
vertical motions, atmospheric vorticity, and atmospheric pressure.
This mechanism was proposed to explain the short-term
correlations of tropospheric dynamics with short term modulation
of the galactic cosmic ray flux by the solar wind during active
solar conditions. We will discuss it also as a candidate to explain
the completely independent correlations of tropospheric dynamics
with changes in ionospheric electric fields related to changes in
the solar wind magnetic fields under quiet solar conditions.

The aliasing question has been emphasized by Wallace
[1988], Teitelbaum and Bauer [1990], Dewan and Shapiro [1991],
and Salby and Shea [1991]. The possibility is raised that the
change in Arctic stratospheric temperature is due to forcing by the
QBO alone (no input from the varying sun), and that the apparent
correlation with the 11-year solar cycle is a result of aliasing by
the annual sampling. We first test this by examining the aliasing
effect of sampling a synthetic QBO and comparing the result with
the observations. We then examine the aliasing effect of sampling
the real QBO and comparing the results with observations. Since
the temperature samples are made at 12-month intervals, for a
pure sinusoid of period 28 months there would be a 2-month
phase shift of each yearly datum point with respect to the
synthetic QBO. With stratification of the datum points
with respect to whether they were in the positive (e.g., west) or the
negative (e.g., east) phase of the synthetic QBO, the result shown
in Figure 2 is obtained. For both phases the stratified data show
the effect of aliasing, giving a sinusoidal output of period 7 years.
(When the phases are sampled separately at 12-month intervals,
the sampling shifts in phase by 4 months per 28-month QBO cycle
[4 = 28 - 24], so that in exactly three QBO cycles or 7 years
the shifts total 12 months, repeating the initial conditions.) A key
point to be noted is that for the positive phase the amplitude is the
top 30% of the range of the synthetic QBO and for the negative
phase it is the bottom 30%, with no overlap of the two ranges.
This nonoverlap must necessarily be the case for any stratification
according to positive and negative phases of the same forcing
function that generates the unstratified time series. An overlap
can, of course, be produced if the synthetic or real QBO is
sampled with stratification by other than the synthetic or real
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and Salby and Shea [1991]. The possibility is raised that the
change in Arctic stratospheric temperature is due to forcing by the
QBO alone (no input from the varying sun), and that the apparent
correlation with the 11-year solar cycle is a result of aliasing by
the annual sampling. We first test this by examining the aliasing
effect of sampling a synthetic QBO and comparing the result with
the observations. We then examine the aliasing effect of sampling
the real QBO and comparing the results with observations. Since
the temperature samples are made at 12-month intervals, for a
pure sinusoid of period 28 months there would be a 2-month
phase shift of each yearly datum point with respect to the
synthetic QBO. With stratification of the datum points
with respect to whether they were in the positive (e.g., west) or the
negative (e.g., east) phase of the synthetic QBO, the result shown
in Figure 2 is obtained. For both phases the stratified data show
the effect of aliasing, giving a sinusoidal output of period 7 years.
(When the phases are sampled separately at 12-month intervals,
the sampling shifts in phase by 4 months per 28-month QBO cycle
[4 = 28 - 24], so that in exactly three QBO cycles or 7 years
the shifts total 12 months, repeating the initial conditions.) A key
point to be noted is that for the positive phase the amplitude is the
top 30% of the range of the synthetic QBO and for the negative
phase it is the bottom 30%, with no overlap of the two ranges.
This nonoverlap must necessarily be the case for any stratification
according to positive and negative phases of the same forcing
function that generates the unstratified time series. An overlap
can, of course, be produced if the synthetic or real QBO is
sampled with stratification by other than the synthetic or real
QBO phase, as has been done in some of the studies referenced above. However, this was not the procedure used by Labitzke and van Loon [1988], and such stratification by other than the QBO phase does not constitute a test for the effect of aliasing in their results.

There are physical reasons for stratifying the data by the phase of the QBO, related to changes with QBO phase of stratospheric chemistry and of wave-mean flow interactions and of aerosol and electrical parameters, as will be discussed in section 5. The stratification by alternate years does not appear to have any physical basis, and certainly the stratification of samples of a synthetic QBO by the real QBO phase has no physical basis, and thus the results of such stratification are without physical significance.

Although the analysis represented by Figure 2 appears to confirm some aliasing effects, the above results have been for an unrealistic QBO. The whole discussion of the question of aliasing can be, and should be, conducted with respect to the real QBO, which is by no means a sinusoid and has by no means a constant period. Figure 3 shows plots of the actual 45-mbar equatorial stratospheric wind velocity, from observations at Canton Island, the Maldives, and Singapore (K. Labitzke, personal communication, 1991) for the years since 1951. Figure 3a is the complete time series, and the diamonds are the values of the annual sampling without stratification. Figure 3b is the annual sampling for the QBO west phase only, and Figure 3c is the same for the QBO east phase only. One can see that the sampling and stratification have produced outputs with no overlap, as expected, but surprisingly, there is very little modulation due to aliasing at the 7-year period or in the range 7-15 years. Figure 3 can be compared directly with Figure 1, and, in particular, the almost complete overlap of the variations in Figs 1b and 1c can be compared with the well-separated and small-amplitude variations in Figures 3b and 3c.

Therefore, there are two arguments against aliasing of QBO forcing (without input from the varying sun) as an explanation of the data in Figure 1. The argument just in terms of mathematics is that the sampling and stratification should produce outputs with some long period-aliasing, but no overlap of the stratified outputs. This is contrary to the observations, which show complete overlap. The second and stronger argument rests on the empirical result (as shown in Figures 3b and 3c) of sampling the real QBO, in contrast to the mathematical result of sampling a pure sinusoidal QBO, which shows even less resemblance to the observations.

As a commentary, but not as part of the argument, we note that Figure 3a shows the way in which the real QBO departs from a pure sinusoid: the west phase variation resembles a square wave (and the sampling of a pure square wave stratified by its own phase does not result in aliasing), and the period is variable. In the intervals from 1954 to 1964 and from 1968 to 1974, the period was shorter than average, and in the remaining intervals the period was longer. The QBO is partially phase locked to the higher-altitude semiannual oscillation [Gray and Pyle, 1989], tending to switch phases at about the same time each year (missing some years). A wave completely phase locked to a semiannual oscillation would show no aliasing with annual sampling.

In summary, although it is true that analyses that resemble that made by Labitzke and van Loon [1988] do produce seriously aliased outputs, we have shown that the actual sampling and stratification procedure used by Labitzke and van Loon [1988], when applied to the real QBO forcing function, produces very little aliased output with a period of 10-12 years. Therefore we conclude that aliasing of a winter Arctic stratospheric temperature change that is forced by the QBO alone is an inadequate explanation of the correlations of Figure 1. The same conclusion applies to winter circulation changes in the troposphere [Labitzke and van Loon, 1988; van Loon and Labitzke, 1988; Vennie and Dartt, 1990; Barnston and Livezey, 1991; Mason and Tyson, 1992]; and in the middle atmosphere [Chabin et al., 1989]; and for winter electric field changes [Marcz, 1990], and to ozone changes...
3. Uniqueness of Solar Activity

Forcing for Decadal Time Scale Variations

It was pointed out by Baldwin and Dunkerton [1989], Teitelbaum and Bauer [1990], Dewan and Shapiro [1991] and Salby and Shea [1991] that the interval of about 33 years, over which the correlation of stratified winter stratospheric and tropospheric temperatures with the solar cycle holds, is too short to uniquely identify the 11-year solar cycle as the forcing function; in fact, simulated forcing functions with periods from 8 to 15 years with optimized phases show correlation coefficients which are just as high. The suggestion is that because the atmospheric variability is not uniquely identified with 11-year solar cycle, there is no justification for considering that a physical mechanism connects the atmosphere and the solar variability. However, this argument is invalidated by the fact that although several inputs to the atmosphere from the sun, varying on the 11-year cycle, have been identified (solar ultraviolet, solar visible-infrared, MeV particles, GeV particles, electric fields from the solar wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere interaction), no plausible nonsolar inputs of an 8- to 15-year periods have been identified. For a discussion of solar inputs, see the National Research Council [1982] report. The lack of uniqueness does not mean that solar forcing is excluded, only that it is not confirmed. An 11-year solar forcing would account for the high correlations with simulated periods ranging from 8 to 15 years and is thus the logical choice to begin a search for mechanisms.

The problem of nonuniqueness is not present for correlations found in several sets of unstratified atmospheric data that extend over periods much longer than 33 years. Summertime atmospheric temperatures in the central United States correlate well with the solar cycle, as shown in Figures 4 and 5 (after Chang and Lau, [1990]; and Labitzke and van Loon, [1992], respectively). The Kansas City temperature variations were previously shown to be representative of a large area of the central United States [Chang and Wallace, 1987], as also are the Charleston temperature variations [Labitzke and van Loon, 1992]. The two figures combined represent a 95-year period showing the correlation. The interval between the early 1960s to the mid-1970s, when the amplitude of the atmospheric variation was low and the correlation with the solar cycle was not present, can be attributed to the effects on climate of the volcanic aerosols from the Mt. Agung eruption [Labitzke and Naujokat, 1983; Newell, 1970]. Figure 5 shows that the atmospheric temperature variation returned to being in phase with the solar cycle following the decay of the Mt. Agung-associated transient. Apart from this period there were six cycles of atmospheric temperature in phase with the solar cycle and two in quadrature. Some cycles in quadrature are to be expected since other sources of variability exist, as part of a red noise continuum due to sea surface temperature change and internal atmospheric variability, in addition to volcanism. Another long-term data set showing a good correlation with solar variability is that of North Atlantic winter storm track latitudes over a 67-year period [Tinsley and Deen, 1991]. Four cycles are in phase, and although two cycles are in quadrature, the associated storm frequency and surface temperature variations remain in phase. The study of 100 years of North Atlantic mean sea level pressure by a principal component analysis [Kelley, 1977] revealed significant peaks centered on 11 and 2.2 years. A maximum entropy analysis of 102 years of data on Atlantic tropical cyclones [Cohen and Sweezer, 1975] showed that for the range of periods from 6 to 40 years the number of tropical cyclones/season, and the length of the season, had strongest peaks at 11.3 and 10.9 years respectively. There were also smaller peaks at 22 and 21.2 years respectively. A study of 250 years of ice accumulation data from Mt. Logan, Yukon [Holdsworth et al., 1989] showed prominent peaks in accumulation rate at 3.8, 11.3, and 21 years. The 3.8-year peak may be identified with the El Niño-Southern Oscillation period. It is difficult to attribute the 11- and 22-year periods in the above data sets to anything other than solar forcing.

4. Uniqueness of Solar Activity

Forcing on the Day-to-Day Time Scale

Tinsley and Deen [1991] found correlations between changes in the 500 mbar vorticity area index (a measure of the intensity...
of cyclonic disturbances) and changes in neutron monitor count rates (a proxy for the rate of ion production by cosmic rays in the upper troposphere). The set of 108 events studied were at times of Forbush decreases in the flux of galactic cosmic rays, caused by magnetic shock waves in the highly disturbed solar wind. The correlations were present when the set of events was divided in four different ways: with respect to the first 16 years and next 15 years, with respect to the first and second halves of winter, with respect to east and west QBO phase winters, and with respect to larger and smaller Forbush decreases (larger and smaller apparent responses). The correlations were not present when a set of key days of large 10.7 cm solar flux variations, representing variable solar photon inputs into the atmosphere, were used in the analysis. Therefore, the apparent responses were uniquely identifiable with solar wind forcing.

4.2. Forcing by Changes in Polar Cap Ionospheric Potential

We now describe a completely independent set of correlations on the day-to-day time scale, which are found for quiet solar wind conditions with relatively little change in cosmic ray flux.

Figure 6 shows the variation of ionospheric potential along a dusk-dawn (1800-0600 hours) meridian through the northern polar region. The curves are from the analytical model of Hairston and Heelis [1990], which is derived from an empirical fit to a large data base of measurements made with the DE-2 satellite, and are consistent with many other such measurements. The three curves correspond to the solar wind azimuthal magnetic field component $B_y$ having values of $+7, 0$, and $-7 \text{nT}$, for a maximum horizontal dawn-dusk potential difference, $\Phi$, of 80 kV. This value for $\Phi$ is typical for average conditions if the component $B_z$ of the solar wind magnetic field is negative (southward), with the actual value being a function of both $B_z$ and the solar wind velocity, $V$ [Reiff and Luhman, 1986]. For these applications, $B_y$ and $B_z$ are expressed in a solar-terrestrial coordinate system, known as the geocentric solar magnetospheric (GSM) system [Crooker and Siscoe, 1986]. It can be seen that within $5^\circ$ of the pole the ionospheric potential rises and falls by 40 kV, as $B_y$ changes from $-7$ to $+7 \text{nT}$ and back to $-7 \text{nT}$.

Figure 7 shows $\Sigma$, the average of the ionospheric potentials over areas of both the northern and southern polar caps. Specifically, for 7a the average is over the circular regions of radius $10^\circ$ magnetic colatitude (2200 km in diameter, centered on the magnetic poles) and for Figure 7b it is the zones between $10^\circ$ and $15^\circ$ magnetic colatitude. The heavy solid lines are for the northern polar cap with $\Phi = 80 \text{kV}$, and they each include three points corresponding to the area averages associated with the three curves for Figure 6. The lighter solid lines are for the northern polar cap, with values of $\Phi$ equal to 50 and 120 kV. The dashed curves show the averaged potential for the same values of $\Phi$ in the southern polar cap. Note that the area-averaged potentials $\Sigma$ are symmetric with $B_y$ because of the asymmetry in the convection pattern as a function of $B_y$ as shown in Figure 6. The opposite variations in the northern and southern polar caps result from the finding that there is no significant difference between convection patterns in the north when $B_y$ is positive and in the south when $B_y$ is negative. This asymmetric distribution with $B_y$ is also seen in ground-based measurements of ionospheric current [Friis-Christensen et al., 1972; Mansurov et al., 1974; Svalgaard, 1974] and of electric field [Holt et al., 1987]; the studies by Mansurov et al. [1974] and Svalgaard [1974] show its opposite behavior in the two hemispheres.

It was found by Mansurov et al., [1974] that the surface pressure at Mould Bay and Dumond d'Urville, two stations about $10^\circ$ from the north and south 'invariant' magnetic poles respectively, varied by about 4 mbar between periods when the solar wind $B_x$ value was positive and when it was negative and that this variation was opposite in the Antarctic and the Arctic. The magnetic coordinate system used to define the Hairston and Heelis [1990] model and the curves of Figure 6 has its polar axis passing through the 'invariant' magnetic poles. A result consistent with that of Mansurov et al. [1974] was found by Page [1988, 1989], who compared daily average surface pressures at Thule, Greenland, with those at McMurdo, Antarctica, for $B_x$ values in 1-nT intervals between $-7$ and $+7 \text{nT}$ over the period 1964-1974. These stations are also about $10^\circ$ from the 'invariant' magnetic poles. Values of the solar wind magnetic field component $B_y$ are highly correlated with the values of $B_x$ as a result of the field geometry [Hirschberg, 1969], and the average field 'garden hose' angle of $45^\circ$ defines a constant of proportionality of -1.0.
Therefore the apparent responses to changes in $B_x$ can also be interpreted as an apparent response to $B_y$ changes. In Figure 7c we replot the results of Page [1989] in the same format as Figure 7a and 7b, with $B_y$ replacing $-B_x$ the pressures converted to millibars, and with estimated error bars added. We also replot the results of Mansurov et al. [1974] as solid circles for Mould Bay and open squares for Dumond d'Urville, with the mean pressures for the stations taken as 972.5 and 979.5 mbar, respectively, for the purposes of clarity in plotting the differences on the figure. The error bars on pressure represent estimated deviations of the mean, obtained from the pressure distributions of Mansurov et al. [1974], which show measured average deviations of individual data points from the mean of 10 mbar. Page [1989] utilized a total of 2495 events in 14 bins. Taking into account the bimodal distribution of occurrence of daily average $B_y$ values measured for the years 1974 and 1982, we calculated the variable error bars for pressure shown. The mean positive and mean negative $B_y$ values both had magnitudes of 3.0 ± 0.25 nT in the solar minimum year 1974 and the solar maximum year 1982, and so we used this value to represent 1964. We assumed a persistence of three days for both the pressure and $B_y$ variations in calculating the deviations of the means. The results with estimated errors for Page's [1989] data are consistent with a linear variation of pressure with $B_y$, with a slope of -0.2 ± 0.1 mbar/nT for Thule (Arctic), and a slope of +0.2 ± 0.1 mbar/nT for McMurdo (Antarctic). For the data of Mansurov et al. [1974], larger slopes of -0.67 ± 0.3 mbar/nT for Mould Bay (Arctic) and +0.67 ± 0.3 mbar/nT for Dumond d'Urville (Antarctic) are found.

The correlations described above, of $\Sigma$ with $B_y$ on the one hand, and of tropospheric pressure with $B_y$ on the other, are so similar as to leave little reason to interpret these as chance correlations. We note that (1) a systematic variation of surface pressure with $B_y$ has been found for four different locations, over two different time intervals; and (2) within the polar caps the variations of pressure with $B_y$ are opposite in the southern hemisphere to the variations in the northern hemisphere, as are the variations of $\Sigma$ with $B_y$.

These correlations were found for a small fraction of all the data now available, and there is a need to test for their persistence in more recent and comprehensive measurements of pressure and geopotential height which extend across both polar caps. Also, the ionospheric potentials are strongly affected by the dawn-dusk potential difference, $\Phi$, which depends on the $B_z$ (GSM) component of the solar wind magnetic field. Because of the changing orientation of the GSM coordinate axes on an annual cycle, $B_z$ (GSM) shows a correlation with $B_y$ in some seasons, and an anticorrelation in others. Therefore it is preferable to test for the correlation of pressure (and geopotential height) with the area averaged potential $\Sigma$ rather than with $B_y$, with $\Sigma$ calculated from both $\Phi$ and $B_y$ (GSM).

5. DISCUSSION OF A POSSIBLE MECHANISM

The average ionospheric potential with respect to the earth is positive and about 250 kV. Most of this potential difference appears across the lowest 10 km of the atmosphere [Roble and Tzur, 1986] giving rise to the vertical electric field in the troposphere, with efficient downward mapping of the ionospheric horizontal variations for areas of scale size greater than a few hundred kilometers. The satellite measured changes in the ionospheric potential by ca. 40 kV represent a 10-20% change in the ionosphere-earth potential difference and thus a 10-20% change in the vertical tropospheric electric field, which must covary with $\Sigma$. The large-scale electric field in the troposphere produces a downward current, which meets an obstacle in clouds because of their low conductivity, producing boundary polarization charge layers at the tops and bottoms of the clouds and a high polarization electric field in between [Beard and Ochs, 1986]. The production of these charge layers proceeds by cloud droplets of radius $R$ capturing charge up to a maximum $Q$ in a growing field of magnitude $E$, where $\varepsilon_0$ is the permittivity of free space and $Q$ is given by

$$Q = 12\pi\varepsilon_0 R^2 E$$

which amounts to 180 elementary charges for a 30-μm-radius droplet in a field of 100 V/m. The process steadily increases the amount of charge in the layer, and the polarization electric field $E$, and consequently the amount of charge attained per droplet, until the atmospheric current is continuous through the cloud or the process is interrupted by transport effects. The rate at which the charging proceeds depends on the atmospheric current, which, in turn depends on (1) the atmospheric ion concentration and thus on the cosmic ray flux, and (2) the local ionospheric potential. The charge on a supercooled droplet at cloud top is therefore likely to vary as a function of the product of the cosmic ray flux and the local ionospheric potential.

The vertical atmospheric electric fields at cloud top are of the order of 10 V/m, amplified by another order of magnitude in the polarization electric field within the cloud. As clouds develop and the polarization field increases, large fluctuating fields are found...
Fig. 7. (a) Variation of area averaged ionospheric potential \( \Phi \) with \( B_y \) for three values of the dawn-dusk potential difference, \( \Phi_d \), for northern and southern hemispheres for the areas of radius 10° magnetic colatitude. Solid curves, northern hemisphere values, dashed curves, southern hemisphere values. (b) Variation of \( \Phi \) for the zones between 10° and 15° colatitude. (c) Variation with \( B_y \) of surface pressure at Arctic and Antarctic stations, replotted with \( -B_x \) replaced by \( B_y \), with pressure in millibars, and with error bars estimated, as discussed in the text. Solid line, Thule (north); dashed line, McMurdo (south) (after Page [1989]. Solid circles, Mould Bay (north); open squares, Dumond d'Urville (south) (after Mansurov et al. [1989]).

If the above scenario is generally correct, the contributions to droplet charging from both the average and the strongest cloud fields would be responsive to the product of the cosmic ray flux and the local ionospheric potential. The electrofreezing mechanism postulates that variations in the amount of such charge affect the rate of initial ice generation at the tops of clouds. Ice generation is not well understood, although it is the first step in the standard Wegener-Bergeron-Findeison mechanism for precipitation. In their recent study, Hobbs and Rangno [1990] suggested that contact ice nucleation at the tops of cumuliform clouds occurs first and is followed by deposition or condensation-freezing nucleation. There is at least one process by which electrostatic charging of cloud droplets and aerosols will enhance the contact ice nucleation rate, and quite plausibly two. The process already recognized is the increase in collection efficiency for aerosols (acting as ice nuclei) by charged droplets compared with that by uncharged droplets. From models, the effect is an increase by up to an order of magnitude for collection of aerosols between \( 10^{-2} \) and 1 \( \mu m \) in radius by droplets of a few tens of \( \mu m \) in radius with typical cloud charges [Wang et al., 1978]. Measurements of the effect show an increase by up to two orders
of the scaling of energy between droplet charging and atmospheric to eddy kinetic energy, producing waves that propagate out of the region and changes in the general circulation, as discussed in conversion of the linear kinetic energy of the general circulation processes progressing from ice nucleation through the seeder-systems, and consequent changes in vorticity and surface air masses and relatively warm water, as well as the presence of feeder process [Rutledge and Hobbs, 1983].

The successive steps in the chain of processes linking changes in ice nucleation with changes in atmospheric dynamics and/or temperature may be different in winter and summer. Clouds are colloidal suspensions which are unstable gravitationally, and they are also unstable thermodynamically to changes of phase when above the freezing altitude. When the droplets are induced to freeze and/or grow and coalesce and precipitate before they evaporate, there are large effects on atmospheric dynamics because of net latent heat release and consequent changes in vertical air motions, which affect atmospheric vorticity and atmospheric pressure. There may also be effects due to changes in cloud radiative forcing. The electrofreezing process will change the particle size distribution even in those clouds in which the ice crystals do not grow large enough to affect precipitation, and the process will affect the water content as well as cloud albedo in those clouds that do precipitate. There may also be effects due to water vapor radiative forcing, as a result of changes in the amount of water vapor that remains in the atmosphere when clouds dissipate after ice crystals grow large enough to affect precipitation. Since water vapor is the most important greenhouse gas (it is 100 times more abundant than CO₂ at low latitudes and low altitudes), small systematic changes in its concentration could have significant effects on climate. Although the theory of all of these processes in the context of real clouds is still in an exploratory stage, and although changes in ice nucleation with changes in atmospheric dynamics is as follows. Changes in the mean tropospheric temperature by 6°C, or in atmospheric vorticity related to surface pressure changes by a few millibars, are equivalent to a redistribution of atmospheric energy by about 10³ W cm⁻². The production rate of ions in the upper troposphere is about 10 cm⁻³ s⁻¹ [Neher, 1971], and so in a layer 1 m thick at the tops of clouds about 10⁶ ions are produced per second per column of 1 square centimeter cross section in the layer. The typical downward flux of ice crystals in fall streaks and in the model of Rutledge and Hobbs [1983] is about 1 cm⁻³ s⁻¹, so that the above values would be consistent with 1 in 10⁷ charged aerosols actually causing electrofreezing, assuming that all ions attach to aerosols. This flux of seed crystals produced a doubling of the precipitation rate in warm frontal rainbands in the model. If each ice crystal resulted in a 1-mm-diameter water droplet leaving the atmosphere, rather than the water being reevaporated, the net latent heat released to the atmosphere is about 1 J per drop, or 1 W cm⁻². We can reduce this energy flux by a factor of 10 since we are tracking a 10% change in the input and further reduce it by a factor of 10² for the intermittent nature of the precipitation in space and time, and we can still account for 10² W cm⁻². This represents both the day-to-day changes in tropospheric dynamics in winter and the changes in winter storms on the decadal time scale as discussed by Rutledge and Deen [1991].

For the summer variations of Figures 4 and 5, the effects of radiative forcing as consequences of electrofreezing may be more important. A change in high level cloud opacity by 5% would change the heating rate in the column below the order of 0.03°C/day [Dickinson, 1975], so that in 2 months a 2°C surface temperature change would result, in agreement with the results in Figure 4. This is neglecting any contributions due to changes in circulation.

The explanation of the stratospheric variations shown in Figure 1, and the role of the QBO in them remains a puzzle. The effects occur in January and February, when the vortex is unstable to small dynamic or temperature perturbations. The region concerned is the upper stratosphere where very little supercooled water is formed, and so effects propagating up from the troposphere and additional effects due to changes in stratospheric ionization should be considered. The amount of energy required to heat the region above the altitude of the 40 mbar level by 20°C is small compared with that involved in the decadal tropospheric changes (e.g., about 10% of the energy involved in the change of surface temperature by 6°C in the eastern United States, as found by van Loon and Labitzke [1988]. The amount just to trigger stratospheric warming would be considerably smaller. Studies have been carried by Damers and Ebel [1990], O'Sullivan and Salby [1990], and Balachandran et al. [1991] on the propagation of planetary waves, originating in the troposphere at low latitudes, to the high-latitude stratospheres and their effects on stratospheric warming there. They found that the effects were greater during east phase QBO conditions than during west phase conditions. Taken with greater planetary wave generation by intensification of winter cyclones at solar minimum compared with solar maximum, there is the possibility of explaining the east phase variation of the relationships in Figure 1. The west phase variation would have to be explained separately. In this context it may be important that the upper boundary condition for propagation of waves in the stratosphere is subject to significant 11-year cycles due to the solar ultraviolet variability. QBO effects on stratospheric water vapor and minor chemical concentrations [Chipperfield and Gray, 1992] that may be carried into the polar vortex by meridional stratospheric
circulation also remain to be explored, in the context of possible effects of stratospheric ionization on the nucleation of stratospheric sulfate aerosols [Dickinson, 1975] and on the formation and rate of sedimentation of type I polar stratospheric clouds [Dye et al., 1992; Arnold et al., 1992]. These may in turn affect polar ozone concentrations and the temperature structure. Although the above speculative discussion may show little similarity to the eventual explanation of the relationships in Figure 1, its purpose is to draw attention to the uncertainties in our understanding the polar stratosphere, and the effect on them of physical changes related to QBO phase and solar inputs, which leave adequate room for an explanation by some such combination of physical and chemical processes. The complexities of the system are such that the explanation is unlikely to be found without a serious research effort directed toward finding it.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The aliasing effects of annual sampling of a suggested atmospheric forcing by the QBO alone provide an inadequate explanation of the reported correlations of winter stratospheric temperature and other atmospheric parameters, stratified by the QBO, with the 11-year solar cycle. In long series of unstratified climate data, the solar periods of 11 and 22 years are prominent, as are longer solar periods, and support the concept of forcing on these time scales as being due to solar activity. Day-to-day correlations between solar wind magnetic field changes and atmospheric dynamic variations are found for two independent inputs to atmospheric electricity and support a mechanism (electrofreezing) in which the solar wind affects electrostatic charging of supercooled water droplets and aerosols at the tops of clouds, thereby increasing the rate of ice nucleation. This in turn would affect latent heat release, vertical motions, storm dynamics, and the general circulation and would affect higher levels of the atmosphere through upward propagation of gravity and planetary waves. For summertime conditions, the effects of changes in radiative forcing as a result of electrofreezing may be more important. Much more work is required before these mechanisms can be considered to have a secure basis in laboratory experiment and quantitative atmospheric modeling.
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