ISSUE PAPER

Prepared for: Rocky Mountain Coordinating Group

Submitted: November 3, 2011 Author(s): ICT2's: Todd Richardson, Todd Pechota, and Joe Lowe

---

TITLE: Providing Equity for IMT2 Assignments in the Rocky Mountain Geographic Area

Issue: **There are instances based on fire activity and team rotations, where discrepancy in the number of assignments between Type II Teams in the geographic area may exist. These discrepancies inhibit: all teams being well exercised and practiced, out of balance workload for teams (one or more Teams having a high number of assignments), disparity in trainee development and succession planning opportunity, and other potential unforseen pitfalls.**

Status: **The three ICT2's have discussed the issue, and we are unanimously in agreement of the following recommendation. We believe it is important to highlight solid and cooperative relationships between all Teams, which facilitate the sharing of ideas and identifying workable solutions for issues that arise.**

Recommendation: **When disparity of mobilizations arises (2 or more) between any Type II Teams, the following should occur:**

- **Team(s) with higher number of assignments will become the last to be mobilized.**
- **Once Teams(s) with the lowest number of assignments is mobilized, Team will resume normal rotation order.**
- **GPC Zone assignments are considered in the Team C total mobilization numbers.**
- **If PL3 (Zone) prevents Team C's availability, process only applies to Teams A/B.**
- **Team C will be the first team assigned within the GPC Zone at all Preparedness Levels (PL) when available.**
- ***RMA Mobilization guide will reflect the following language for 2012:***
  "In an effort to maintain currency, functionality, and viability of the RMA Type 2 teams the regional rotation will be followed until such time as there becomes a disparity of two assignments between Type 2 teams. The team(s) without an assignment will be given the opportunity for an assignment prior to the team on-call. In-Zone assignments for team C count in their total number of assignments, however they will continue to be the first team assigned within the GPC zone."

Contact(s): Todd Pechota, Todd Richardson, Joe Lowe

ACTION TAKEN

(Circle One)

APPROVED / APPROVED AS MODIFIED BELOW / REJECTED / DEFERRED UNTIL: _____

**The RMCG Operations Committee supports this proposal in conjunction with RMACC and recommend it for consideration of RMCG for approval.

7/24/12
Date

/S/ Robert J. Jones
Rocky Mountain Coordinating Group

Explanation (if needed):