Attachment B - CWCG INTERAGENCY TEAM EVALUATION - April 2018

Incident Type

Incident Number

Team IC

Incident

Name		Incident Number				
Assignment		Total				
Dates		Acres				
Host		Evaluation Date				
Agency Administrative						
Unit	iisti ative	Sub-Unit				
CIII						
	Objective	s and Environmental Resources: How well did the IMT accomplish strategic direction, the objectives and meet				
1.	resource	concerns? Did the Team meet the Delegation of Authority and address items from Agency Administrator				
		? Did the IMT engage with the WFDSS components as requested/required? Other?				
Evalua	ation Criteri	a:				
Results:						
	E.	THE HILL TAKE OF A COLUMN ADVIOLATION AND A COLUMN ASSET				
2.	Finance: How well did the IMT manage the cost of the incident? Did the IMT follow incident business guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator, i.e. invoices, OWCP and vendor issues? Was a					
2.		documentation package left for the unit? Other?				
Evalua	ation Criteri					
Results:						
	Political a	and Social: How well did the IMT deal with sensitive political and social concerns? Was public information				
3. Indicar and Social: 110w went did the IMT dear with sensitive pointers and social concerns: was public information timely and accurate? Did the IMT develop partnerships with local cooperators? Other?						
Evaluation Criteria:						
Results:						
4.	IMT Dyn	amics: Did the IC provide the leadership required? Was the IMT professional? Did they transition in and/or out				
4. effectively and efficiently per Agency Administrator direction? Was the IMT sensitive to local needs? Other?						
Evaluation Criteria:						
Results	s:					
1						

5. Risk Management: Wactions completed? Or	ere safety measures appropriate and adequate? Were n	otifications n	nade properly? Were follow up		
Evaluation Criteria:	1101				
Results:					
	<u>ob</u> : Did the IMT manage resources timely and cost effeatlable forces? Did they work well with unit and GACC				
Evaluation Criteria:	mande solver. Did they not not not men unit and Greek	- counterpart	O THE !		
Results:					
7. <u>Agency and/or GACC feedback</u> : Did the IMT engage in effective communication, prioritization and provide feedback? Did IMT members communicate effectively with GACC and Cache personnel?					
Evaluation Criteria:					
Results:					
 Notes: Agency Administrators may provide additional feedback relating to the financial package to Incident Commanders and GACCs following the IMT close-out and upon receipt of the Use/Loss Report. Additional information can be provided as an attachment for feedback specific to your incident. All IMT's must leave the incident with a signed evaluation by both the Agency Administrator and the IC. 					
Agency Administrator or Agency Representative:		Date:			
		Date:			
Agency Representative:		Date:			
		Date:			