#### **CHAPTER 80 - FORMS**

#### DISPATCH FORMS

All units will use appropriate forms as designated below.

SAFECOM Form https://www.safecom.gov/ (Will NOT open in Internet Explorer)

Incident Status Summary (Ics-209) Form https://fam.nwcg.gov/fam-web/sit/ics209.pdf

Fuels and Fire Behavior Advisory Template

https://www.predictiveservices.nifc.gov/fuels\_fire-danger/fuels\_fire-danger.htm

The Following forms are all available at https://www.nifc.gov/nicc/logistics/coord\_forms.htm

Resource Order Form
Mobile Food & Shower Service Request Form
Passenger and Cargo Manifest Form
Infrared Aircraft Scanner Request Form
FAA Temporary Tower Request Form
Preparedness/Detail Request Form
Wildland Fire Entrapment/Fatality Initial Report Form
Assignment Extension Requirements and Documentation Form
Rationale for Assigning/Requesting Incident Management Teams
Reimbursable Form

Copies and/or links of the following forms are provided in the subsequent pages:

- Aircraft Dispatch Form
   <u>https://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/aircraft.php</u>
- Interagency Request for Temporary Flight Restriction
   <a href="https://www.nwcg.gov/committees/interagency-airspace-subcommittee">https://www.nwcg.gov/committees/interagency-airspace-subcommittee</a>
- Documentation of Contacts Requesting Deconfliction of Airspace by The Military
   <a href="http://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/aircraft.php">http://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/aircraft.php</a>
  under Aviation Forms
- Airspace Boundary Management Plan and Checklist
   <a href="https://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/aircraft.php">https://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/aircraft.php</a> under Aviation Forms
- Incident Management Team Performance Evaluation
   <a href="https://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/overhead.php">https://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/overhead.php</a> under IMT Toolbox
- Great Basin Buying Team Performance Evaluation
   <a href="http://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/business.php">http://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/business.php</a>

ARCR	<b>ARCRAFT DISPATCH FORM</b>	ORM	
INCIDENT NAME:	DATE:	TIME:	SUNSET+30:
INCIDENT ORDER #:		CHARGE CODE:	
DESCRIPTIVE LOCATION:			ELEVATION:
LATITUDE:	LONGITUDE:		
BEARING (DEG):	DISTANCE (NM):		FROM:
INITIAL POINT (IP) DESCRIPTIVE LOCATION:	ATION:		
IP LATITUDE:	IP LONGITUDE:		
FLIGHT FOLLOW:	F/F FREQUENCY:		TONE:
AIR CONTACT:	A/A FREQUENCY:		TONE:
GROUND CONTACT:	A/G FREQUENCY:		TONE:
HAZARDS:			
OTHER AIRCRAFT:			
RELOAD BASE(S)			

	REQUEST	<u>FOR A TEMPORA</u>	<u>ARY</u>	FLIGHT RESTRICTIO	N
				FAA ARTCC requires phone no	otification.
DATE:				ARTCC	
TIME:				FAA PHONE:	_FAX:
Resource				DISPATCH OFFICE	
	nber:				~
				PERSON REQUESTING TFR:	
Request N		-		24 HR. PHONE (No Toll Free #s)	
Circular		nly – use zero's for seconds if u	na∨ailab		
(US NO	LAT/LONG of Cent TAM OFFICE FORMAT dd			RADIUS (NM) (5 NM is standard)	
	N/	W		· · · · · ·	
				e same NAVAID if possible for e	each point. List nearest
Point	Lat/Long fo	or T-VOR. (For lat/long - Degr prmat	Point	Lat/Long fc	ormat
#	ddmmssN/ddc	ImmssW	#	ddmmssN/ddc	
1	N	W	5	N	W
2	N	W	6	N	W
	× 14	500 (A)		14	W
3					
4	N	W	8	N	W
Altitude (MS 24 hours a d Incident TFI Format:	SL: Only)day? c R Duration: YYMMDE	Dhhmm to YYN	Hours 1MDD	:: (UTC)to (Estimate – 2 months out i hhmm n recognizable to general aviation of	S OK)
Agency in C	Charge			Incident Name	
				VHF-AM Air to Air Frequency	
				WA, PA, AA):	
	Thi	s will affect the followin	g Mili	tary Training Routes:	
Route	SEGMENT(S)	SCHEDULING ACTIVITY	R	SEGMENT(S)	SCHEDULING ACTIVITY
			-		
NOTAM #_		Time Issued		Date///	
Date/Time 7	TFR Canceled:	Ву:		Replaced by	

## 

Feb 2015 Approved by the Interagency Airspace Subcommittee

>	
ñ.	
-	1
•	1
⊢	l
_	1
=	1
-	
~	
=	
т	
-	
~	ł
8	
ш	
O	ł
7	ł
~	1
<u> </u>	
S	
ĉ	
=	
◄	
Ľ,	
0	ļ
-	ļ
z	
ā	
IG DECONFLICTION OF AIRSPACE BY THE MILITARY	
5	
$\underline{\circ}$	
Π	
=	1
~	
0	
~	
~	
-	
G	
STIN	
=	
-	
ŝ	
Πí	
=	
2	
a	
m	l
~	
<b>L</b>	
-	
~	ļ
Ĕ	
Ë	
ACTS	
TACTS	
<b>VTACTS</b>	
NTACTS	
ONTACTS	
CONTACTS	
CONTACTS REQUES	
F CONTACTS	
<b>DF CONTACTS</b>	
OF CONTACTS	
N OF CONTACTS	
IN OF CONTACTS	
ON OF CONTACTS	
TION OF CONTACTS	
VIION OF CONTACTS	
<b>TATION OF CONTACTS</b>	
TATION OF	
NTATION OF	
NTATION OF	
NTATION OF	
NTATION OF	
NTATION OF	
NTATION OF	
NTATION OF	
TATION OF	
NTATION OF	
NTATION OF	

		Ξ.	ц (с				
		RESTRICTION	AND AND CONTACTS)				
	REQUEST CLOSURE OF SEGMENTS OR RESTRIOCTION (eg. ALTITUDE ADJUSTMENT) OF THE FOLLOWING MTRs:	REMARKS/	CONTACTS)				
TRs)	AENT) OF THI	SI	HOUTE (Y/N)				
I. MILITARY TRAINING ROUTES (MTRs)	TITUDE ADJUSTA	CONTACT	(DISPATCHER NAME)				
TRAINING I	RIOCTION (eg. AL	CONTACT	(SCHEDULER NAME)	7			
LITARY	OR RESTI	STED ON	TO POINT				
I. MII	SEGMENTS	N REQUE	FROM				
	T CLOSURE OF 5	DECONFLICTION REQUESTED ON	ROUTE				
	REQUES	COMMERCIAL	NUMBER				
			ACTIVITY				
		DATE	TIME				

_	_		
	FACILITY	RESTRICTION LIFTED (DATE/TIME AND CONTACTS)	
s, RAs, etc.)	RELAY INFORMATION ON REVERSE ("INTERAGENCY REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY FLIGHT RESTRICTION") TO THE SCHEDULIN AGENCY AND/OR MILITARY ATC FACILITY	REMARKS/ (DAILY CONTACTS)	
SPECIAL-USE AIRSPACE (SUA) (MOAs, RAs, etc.)	Y FLIGHT RESTRICTION")	REQUEST RELAYED BY (DISPATCHER NAME)	
ECIAL-USE AIRSF	REQUEST FOR TEMPORAR	REQUEST RELAYED TO (SCHEDULER NAME)	
II. SP	<b>3SE ("INTERAGENCY F</b>	PHONE NUMBER	
	INFORMATION ON REVEN	SCHEDULING AGENCY OR ATC	
	RELAY	DATE / TIME	

#### Great Basin Interagency - Airspace Boundary Management Plan and Checklist

**PURPOSE:** The requirement for increased management and coordination is due to the possibility of two or more agencies/cooperators conducting simultaneous, uncoordinated aviation operations within those areas which would unknowingly put the responding aerial operations within close proximity to another, placing aircraft and crews at risk. The purpose of this plan is to identify such boundaries and Initial Attack zones and provide means of communication, coordination, and airspace deconfliction within those areas.

Aerial operations on, or adjacent to agency/cooperator boundaries, and areas where a neighboring agency/cooperator provides fire suppression on lands administered by the adjoining agency/cooperator (mutual aid, shared, or exchanged Initial Attack areas or zones) require increased management and coordination.

#### **GUIDELINES & PROCEDURES:**

- A. An imaginary 10 mile wide neutral air corridor will center on agency/cooperator boundaries. The neutral air for mutual or exchanged Initial Attack areas or zones will encompass the whole zone plus 5 miles outside the zones boundaries.
- B. Any agency conducting aerial operations within a corridor or zone will immediately notify the adjoining agency/cooperator of such operations. This is accomplished to and from dispatch offices prior to the commencement of operations and when operations cease. Examples of aerial operations include recon, fire suppression missions, special aviation projects, resource management flights, helicopter logging, etc.
- C. Agency aircraft will establish contact on the assigned air-to-air frequency. Should contact not be made, the contact air-to-air frequency will be Air Guard 168.625 Mhz. This frequency will be designated for initial contact and coordination between converging aircraft within corridors and zones only when contact is not otherwise possible. Because this frequency is programmed as the default receive frequency in all agency and contract aircraft FM radios and is intended for initial contact and emergency purposes only, it is imperative that this frequency not be utilized for tactical or logistical purposes. If Guard is used to establish initial contact, aircraft are expected to switch to an alternative frequency (i.e. the local or incident air-to-air frequency, etc.).
- D. When aircraft from two or more adjoining agencies/cooperators are being committed to the same general area of a corridor/zone:
  - Considering complexity, dispatch an Air Tactical Group Supervisor (ATGS).
  - Approaching aircraft will establish air-to-air frequency contact prior to entering the area.
  - Aircraft rely upon dispatch centers for current relevant information. Therefore, coordination between dispatch centers must occur prior to dispatch.
- E. When an aircraft is dispatched to an incident within a corridor/zone and no other aircraft are known to be present:
  - The approaching aircraft will attempt to establish contact on the assigned frequency. If unsuccessful, Guard frequency 168.625 will be utilized.
  - · Perform a high level recon prior to low-level activities.
  - Practice see and avoid.
  - The dispatch initiating the flight will notify and coordinate with the adjoining agency/ cooperator dispatch.
- F. Temporary Flight Restrictions (TFRs) within or in close proximity to corridors/zones will be coordinated and information shared between the responsible dispatch offices.

		Great Basin Airspace B	Boundary Checklist (Example):	
(1)	Date:	Time:	Dispatcher:	
(2)	Fire Name and/ or N	umber:		
(3)	Geographic Location	I:		-
	Latitude x Longitude	::	x	
				-
(4)	Aircraft Responding:	Tail #	Departure Point	
	Air Attack		Departure Form	
	Lead			
	Air Tankers			
	Helicopters		·	
			·	
			·	
	Smokejumpers			
(5)	· · ·	ace or requested? Yes		
	If yes, what are the		int: Lat Long	
			nm MSL	
(6)	Radio Frequencies:			
				-
	Air to Air (VHF-AM):			-
(=)	Air to Ground (VHF-			
(7)		•	se Airspaces near the incident? Ye	es No
		duling Activity been notifie		
	Have Flight Crews b	een notified? Yes No		
(8)	Adjacent Jurisdiction	Dispatch Centers: CHEC	CK ALL APPLICABLE and FAX	
	Dispatch Center:	Phone:	Fax:	
	Dispatch Center:	Phone:	Fax:	
	Dispatch Center:	Phone:	Fax:	
		FIIUIIE.	Fax.	

(9) Has a follow up phone call been made to all Dispatch Centers checked above? Yes No

### INTERAGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAM EVALUATION

APPENDIX I

# Appendix I Incident Management Team Performance Evaluation

Team IC		Incid	lent Type		
Incident Name		Incid	lent Number	r	
Assignment Dates		Tota	l Acres		
Host Agency		Eval	uation Date	6	
Administrative Unit		Sub-	Unit		
At the conclusion of ea agency administrator of evaluation (sections 1- incident commander. T the agency administrat state/regional fire man geographic area multi- any issues of concern.	or representative 5). This evalua The initial perfo for without dela agement office	e should co tion should ormance ev by to the in r, and the o	omplete this is d be discusse valuation sho cident comm chair of the II	initial per d directly uld be de ander, the MT's hor	formance with the livered by e ne
Complete the follo 0 – did not achieve exp 1. How well did the Te Fire Decision Sup the Agency Admin	pectations am accomplish port System (W	3 – met e the object /FDSS) the	expectations tives describe	ed in the	– excelled Wildland
Circle one 0	1	2	3	4	5
<ul> <li>(Explain)</li> <li>2. How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator i.e.; invoices, OWCP and vendor issues?</li> </ul>					
Circle one 0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)					

#### APPENDIX I INTERAGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAM EVALUATION

	the Team de ental conce		sensitivity	to resource	limits/cons	straints and
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						
		am deal wit	th sensitive	-		
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						
managem did the Te	ent of the in	ncident and transition e	ne manner i l how they r either to and	managed th	e total inci	dent? How
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
<ul><li>(Explain)</li><li>6. How well did the Team anticipate and respond to changing conditions, was the response timely and effective?</li></ul>						
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)		-				
	did the Te	am place th	e proper en	nphasis on	safety?	
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						

APPENDIX I-2

Release Date: January 2016

#### INTERAGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAM EVALUATION

#### APPENDIX I

	8. Did the Team activate and manage the mobilization/demobilization in a timely and cost effective manner?					
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						
9. How well forces?	did the Tea	am use loca	l resources	, trainees, a	nd closest a	available
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain) 10. How did						
	a cost share ndations im			re cost revie	ew? How w	ere those
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						
11. Was the IC engaged and in charge of the Team and the Incident? How well did the IC function and operate as a leader?						
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						

#### APPENDIX I INTERAGEN

#### INTERAGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAM EVALUATION

12. How timely was initiating action		IC in assun	ning respon	sibility f	or the incid	lent and
Circle one 0		1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						
13. How did the IC local conditions		sincere co	ncern and	empathy	for the hos	ting unit and
Circle one 0		1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						•
14. Did the Incident	Man	agement T	eam provid	le an org	anized fina	ncial package
(comps/claims d						
suite updated, et	c.) to				or to demob	
Circle one 0		1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						
15. Other comments	3:					
						1
Agency Administrator or Representative:					Date:	
Incident Commander:					Date:	

Release Date: January 2016

# GREAT BASIN BUYING TEAM EVALUATION

March 2018

**Instruction:** The Designated Agency Representative completes the performance evaluation prior to release of the Buying Team. **Please be detailed.** The Buying Team Leader shall forward a copy of the rating to the Buying Team Coordinator (see contact information on bottom of form) immediately upon return from assignment.

Incident Name/Number:	Dates on Incident:
Incident Agency:	
Buying Team Name:	
Evaluator Name, Position, Phone #:	

#### **Evaluation Criteria**

1. Describe the BUYT Leader's strengths and weaknesses. How effective were they at managing the BUYT?

2. How promptly was the Buying Team able to supply goods and services to meet incident needs? Please explain.

3. How was the Buying Team's attitude during the assignment? Their ability to anticipate and respond to changing conditions, such as additional incidents and/or workloads? Please explain.

4. Describe how the BUYT coordinated, cooperated and communicated with outside entities (Incident Agency(s) IBA(s) Expanded Dispatch IMT(s), local community, local landowners etc.)

5. How complete was the BUYT's documentation & closeout package?

6. How well did the team transition? Did the team transition to (circle one): **Home Unit** or **Replacement BUYT** 

7. What are the areas this BUYT could improve upon? Please give detailed feedback.

8. What are the areas this BUYT was successful in? Please give detailed feedback.

This evaluation has been discussed by and between the Designated Agency Representative and the BUYT Leader.

Agency Representative Name	Signature	Date
Buying Team Leader Name	Signature	Date

# Forward copy of evaluation to Sierra Hellstrom immediately upon return from assignment

<u>sierra.hellstrom@usda.gov</u> \* 801-625-5764 (Office) \* 801-940-4935 (Cell) \* 801-625-5365 (Fax)

### THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY